

1 Lisa R. Bugni (SBN 323962)
lbugni@kslaw.com
2 KING & SPALDING LLP
101 Second Street, Suite 2300
3 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: 415-318-1234
4

Attorneys for Defendant
5 *PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP*
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 JAMES EVERETT HUNT, Individually and
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,
11

Lead Plaintiff,
12

13 vs.

14 BLOOM ENERGY CORPORATION, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Lead Case No. 4:19-cv-02935-HSG

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR
DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO SECOND
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Assigned to: Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

STIPULATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, this stipulation and proposed order is submitted by:

- Lead Plaintiff James Everett Hunt (“Lead Plaintiff”);
- Defendants Bloom Energy Corporation, KR Sridhar, Randy Furr, L. John Doerr, Colin L. Powell, Scott Sandell, Peter Teti, Eddy Zervigon, Mary K. Bush, Kelly A. Ayotte (the “Bloom Energy Defendants”);
- J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Cowen & Company, LLC, HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Raymond James & Associates, Inc., and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated (the “Underwriter Defendants”); and
- PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (“PwC,” and together with the Bloom Energy Defendants and Underwriter Defendants, “Defendants”).

WHEREAS:

1. On May 28, 2019, Elissa M. Roberts filed a federal securities class action in the above-captioned matter in the United States District Court, Northern District of California against the Bloom Energy Defendants.

2. On September 3, 2019, following the briefing of lead plaintiff motions, the Hon. William H. Orrick appointed James Everett Hunt as Lead Plaintiff and Levi & Korsinsky, LLP as Lead Counsel (ECF No. 39).

3. On November 4, 2019, Lead Plaintiff filed the Amended Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the “Amended Complaint”) (ECF No. 49). The Amended Complaint alleged violations of the federal securities laws under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against the Bloom Energy Defendants and named the Underwriter Defendants as defendants only under Section 11.

4. On April 14, 2020, the parties filed a stipulation and proposed order extending the deadline to file a second amended complaint and responses (ECF No. 111), which the Court

granted on April 15, 2020 (ECF No. 112).

5. On April 21, 2020, Lead Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the “Second Amended Complaint”) (ECF No. 113) and named PwC as a defendant only under Section 11, in addition to the previously asserted claims against the Bloom Energy Defendants and the Underwriter Defendants.

6. Having just been named as a defendant in the action, PwC has requested additional time to respond to the Second Amended Complaint. The Bloom Energy Defendants and Underwriter Defendants have requested the same extension so that all Defendants will be on the same schedule for responding to the Second Amended Complaint. Lead Plaintiff does not oppose Defendants’ request.

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by Lead Plaintiff and Defendants that, subject to the Court’s approval:

1. The parties’ April 14, 2020 stipulation (Dkt No. 111) and the Court’s April 15, 2020 order granting that stipulation (Dkt No. 112) are vacated, and Defendants’ obligations to respond to Lead Plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint are instead governed by the following provision:
2. Defendants will answer or move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint by July 1, 2020;
3. If one or more Defendants move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint, Lead Plaintiff will oppose the motions by August 17, 2020, and moving Defendants will submit replies in further support of the motions by September 16, 2020.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: May 15, 2020

By: /s/ Adam M. Apton
Adam M. Apton (SBN 316506)
aapton@zlk.com
Adam C. McCall (SBN 302130)
amccall@zlk.com
LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP
388 Market Street, Suite 1300
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415-373-1671

Nichols I. Porritt (admitted *pro hac vice*)
nporritt@zlk.com
LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP
1101 30th Street N.W., Suite 115
Washington, D.C. 20009

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff

Date: May 15, 2020

By: /s/ Sara B. Brody
Sara B. Brody (SBN 130222)
sbrody@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415-772-1279

Matthew J. Dolan (SBN 291150)
mdolan@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1001 Page Mill Road, Building 1
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: 650-565-7106

Robin Wechkin (*pro hac vice* pending)
rwechkin@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1400
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: 415-439-1799

Attorneys for the Bloom Energy Defendants

Date: May 15, 2020

By: /s/ Charlene S. Shimada
Charlene S. Shimada
charlene.shimada@morganlewis.com
Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415-442-1475

*Attorneys for J.P. Morgan Securities LLC,
Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Credit Suisse
Securities (USA) LLC, KeyBanc Capital
Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner &
Smith Incorporated, Cowen & Company, LLC,
HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., Oppenheimer &
Co. Inc., Raymond James & Associates, Inc.,
and Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated*

1 Date: May 15, 2020

2 By: /s/ Lisa R. Bugni
3 Lisa R. Bugni (SBN 323962)
4 lbugni@kslaw.com
5 KING & SPALDING LLP
6 101 Second Street Suite 2300
7 San Francisco, California 94105
8 Telephone: 415-318-1234


9 *Attorneys for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP*

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED that:

1. The parties' April 14, 2020 stipulation (Dkt No. 111) and the Court's April 15, 2020 order granting that stipulation (Dkt No. 112) are vacated, and Defendants' obligations to respond to Lead Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint are instead governed by the following provision:
2. Defendants will answer or move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint by July 1, 2020;
3. If one or more Defendants move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint, Lead Plaintiff will oppose the motions by August 17, 2020, and moving Defendants will submit replies in further support of the motions by September 16, 2020.

DATED: 5/18/2020

By: 
Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
United States District Judge